STONEHENGE TRO 2011

Ridal, Peter

APPENDIX 2 A.

From: Lori Aberie [clatsopduck@yahoo.co.uk]

Sent: 09 February 2010 15:59

To: Davey, Kate Subject: Drove Road access

/Dear Kete Davey.

I am writing to you to formally object to plans for a TRO to be placed on the nyway known as the West Track (Drove road) near the Stonenenge monument in Willshire, which will prohibit vehicular access to this area.

My friends and I use this byway to park their webicles on when visiting Stonehenge and the surrounding areas outside of the English Meritage spening bours. Our purpose for visiting the area is religious as we are practising Pagans. The TRO will stop many from being able to access this area outside of normal opening times and during the open managed access events, where no vehicle parking (except on the summer soletice) is provided by English Meritage.

Many of my friends have to drive considerable distances in order to attend these events, and need the drove road to park on in readiness for waiting for the dawn for situal purposes since there is no other alternative parking or public transport for the times of day we often attend. Refusal of access for vehicles on this byway will prohibit my friends and I from attending these religious deremonies and therefore infringes on our human rights to delebrate my faith freely at monument of specific religious significance. Currently there is nowhere else to park and without the drove road access to the area outside of English Heritage's official car park opening times will make this an impossible event.

I would like to know what provisions you will make for my friends and I to be able to continue to visit this area freely as you are, in effect, maxing it impossible for people to gather close by to the socument without English Beritage's involvement. Vehicular access is peeded as there is no provision for public transport during the night or early hours of the morning which would make it difficult to access the dawn coremonies. Also, without vehicles many people who are unable to make a mile long walk would be denied occass since they need their vehicles to transport their ritual items and clothing and rest in after the ceremonies and rituals before safely moving doward. Also this trace is popular with dog walkers and bird watchers who will have their access denied to their pursuits.

People have been using this track to rest upon with vehicles for many many years now, who come from all over the world to take part in the religious coremonies and rituals carried out there by the Pagan and druid community, of which I am a nember. I have net many people over the years who have travelled specifically thousands of niles to entend a peremony with a vehicle, and a TRO on the track will deny the global pagan community from being able to continue to take part in such communities. Many make this pligtinage here year after year for the four open managed access events, and often wisit to contemplate the nonument out of hours as per their beliefs. The west track/drove is a crucial area for the pagan and druid community to safely congregate before the coremonies and rituals, allowing those with disabilities and mobility issues safe and tolerable access to the area in keeping with their human rights to freely practice their seligious beliefs.

I dige you to reconsider your plans for a bishest TAO prohibiting vehicles on this small place of land and to seriously consider the affects on the denial of human tights on religious grounds of those who use it.

I look forward to your reply./

Surname:			
Amberlow			
Address;			
Star Clair Chil	Bur Stimer	MILES !	
E-mail address:			
hipteriories calor			
Your Comments:			

I wish to object to the proposal to prevent vehicular access to the droves at Stonehenge. Many people use the droves especially those who wish to be in sight of the stones when they are closed. The droves should not be subject to access based on permission they are public spaces and should remain so. The droves are of important cultural and historical significance and I appeal to you to include this objection in any decisions pertaining to this case and reject the closing of the droves to the public.

Date:

14/2/2010

I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:

No

Tick to receive email copy of sent form:

Yes

Accept terms and conditions:

Yes

Entered Mon Feb 15 2010 00:06:50 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:20

-	-					
Ri	-4		10.0	m.		
100.1	en.	29.0		м,	σт	ear.

From:

Humphries, Liame [Lianne.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Tor

Davey, Kate

Cci

Subject:

FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Witshire Council.

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@willshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2010 16:53

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 94.192.201.22

Proposal:

stonehenge tro

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Mr

Forename:

philip

Surname:
addison
Address:
14 hale grove, great sanker, warringfun, chrahire' wa5 lru
E-mail address:
aldoaldo@hotmail.co.uk
Your Comments:
I object to the tro being placed on the roads and byeways around stonehenge, as this will make it impossible for me to park up and rest after the long drive to wiltshire, and stonehenge in particular. I also have a disabled mother whom i take to stonehenge, and we park and look at the monument from the car. it also allows her and I access to the stonehenge area after the official opening hours have closed. It would therefore be impossible for Her and I to enjoy the area, along with the many dog walkers, and many others that take the oppertunity to use the surrounding area a viaible place to park.
Date:
12/2/2010
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:
Yes
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
Yes
Accept terms and conditions:
Yes
Entered Fri Feb 12 2010 17:06:08 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

Humphries, Llanne [Lianne.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk] From: To:

Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:16

Cc

Subject:

Davey, Kitte

PW: Form: TRO consultations form | Willshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Heil. Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 14 February 2010 23:54

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 86.175.17.197

Proposal:

Consultation on proposed Prohibition of Driving at Stonehenge World Heritage Site

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Forename:

E liza (best)

Fram:

Richard Alfen [rikki-boyillinotmail.co.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc

Subject:

FW: public access to stonehenge drave

Attachments:

From: rikki-boy@hotmail.co.uk To: katedayey@wiltshire.gov.uk

Subject: public access to stonehenge drove Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 13:35:55 +0000

Dear Katie

I hope I am writing to the right person.

I have heard that the council plans to remove the right of public access to the track-way to the west of the stone circle at Stonehenge. I am a Wiltshire resident and I frequently use the track-way to stop and park while walking in the area. I often enjoy its peaceful ambience and close proximity to the stones for eating a packed lunch with my family. The area affords little of this type of space/expenence elsewhere in the area (which is easy to find and safe to park up), and I feel it would be a great loss if the amenity were withdrawn.

Sent: Fri 12/02/2010 10:49

Yours sincerely Richard Allen Calne Wiltshire

Got a cool Hotmail story? Tell us now

Not got a Hotmail account? Sign-up now - Free

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wittshire.gov.uk]

To: Davey, Kate

Cc

Subject:

FW: Comments on processed Prohibition of Driving at Stonehenge World Heritage Site

Sent: Wod 27/01/2010 14:14

Attachments:

Hannah Bolwell Traffic Order Technician Traffic Order Team Transport, Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council

Tel: 01225 713402 Fax: 01225 713207

Email: Hannah Bolweli@wiltshire.gov.uk.

www.wiltshire.gov.uk-

---- Original Message-----

From: Adrian Allen [mailto.adrian_allendlokriga.com]

Senti 27 January 2010 12:06

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Comments on proposed Prohibition of Driving at Stonehenge World Heritage Site

Name: Adrian Allen

Address: 142 South Avenue, Abingdon, Oxford, DX14 1QY

Dear Traffic Order Team,

I am writing to object to any proposed closure of the byways around Stonehenge. The idea that the odd motor vehicle using these byways impairs the surroundings when you have the A303 roaning away nearby is ridiculous. It is a pimple on the backside of an elephant.

There is not a continuous stream of vehicles passing the site to disturb others. Any passing vehicle will move through the hearing zone of an adjacent byway user in less than 30 seconds. It is my personal experience that many byway users do not hear motor cycles on byways until they are just a few yards away, which is why I always proceed with caution.

Wilts CC have a duty to provide the byway as an amenity to all user groups. Closing these byways as part of the Stonehenge plan prejudices the vehicle user group and does not create any benefit.

Regards, Adrian

Akriga Ltd

Building sales from scratch http://www.livescratch.com/ http://www.akriga.com/ Tel: +44 (0) 870 444 1332

Tel: 0800 018 1985

Company Registration Number: 4138178

Registered office: 142 South Avenue, Abingdon, OX14 1QY

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:20

R	da	1.1	Bail	ar
EQ.	MID	40.1	100	LEI-

From:

Humphries, Llanne [Liarine.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cct

Subject:

FW: Form: TRO consultations form / Willishire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: <u>larine.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk</u>

www.willstore.nov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2010 16:47

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 89.240.108.175

Proposal:

THE COUNTY OF WILTSHIRE (STONEHENGE WORLD HERITAGE SITE, PARISHES OF AMESBURY, BERWICK ST JAMES, DURRINGTON, WILSFORD CUM LAKE, WINTERBOURNE STOKE AND WOODFORD)

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KA.	D/T	R	1/2	V	М	Е	S.

Title:

Mr

Forename:

paul
Surname:
aldridge
Address:
100 rosemary ave
E-mail address:
aldridgepaul@live.com
Your Comments:
The Byways and their purpose (ie transport) have an historical value that should also be protected for future generations. If someone did not like the stones a few hundred years back and had them removed thay might not be here now or classed the white horse as graffitte and grassed it over the list could go on
Date:
no input
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:
Yes
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
Yes
Accept terms and conditions:
Yes
Entered Fri Feb 12 2010 17:00:03 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

This email originates from Wiltshire Council and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information and may be subject to Copyright or Intellectual Property rights. It is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender and delete the email from your inbox. Any disclosure, reproduction, dissemination, modification and distribution of the contents of the email is strictly prohibited. Email content may be monitored by Wiltshire Council to ensure compliance with its policies and procedures. No contract is intended by this email, and any personal opinions expressed in this message are those of the sender and should not be taken as representing views of Wiltshire Council. Please note Wiltshire Council utilises anti-virus scanning software but does not warrant that any e-mail or attachments are free from viruses or other defects and accepts no liability for any losses resulting from infacted e-mail transmissions. Receipt of this e-mail does not imply consent to use or provide this e-mail address to any third party for any purpose. Willshire

Council will not request the disclosure of personal financial information by means of e-mail any such request should be confirmed in writing by contacting Wiltshire Council.

Sent: Tue 16/02/2010 14:38

Ridal, Peter

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject:

FW: KAD/TRO/AMES

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wittshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Willshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@willshire.gov.uls

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Reuben Alcock [mailto:reuben.alcock@btinternet.com]

Sent: 13 February 2010 14:32

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: KAD/TRO/AMES

Your reference: KADITRO/AMES

From:

Reuben Alcock

3 Prospect Villas

Eastfield Lane

Ditcheat

Somerset

BA4 6PL

I would like to lodge my objection to the planned TROs banning motorised vehicles on the BOATs near to Stonehenge.

Having looked at the maps and driven and ridden many of the routes in question, I fail to see how the amenity will be improved by prohibiting small volumes of passing vehicles.

As yet I have not seen any evidence from Wiltshire County Council that banning vehicles will actually improve the amenity.

The volume of traffic on the BOATs is so insignificant, especially when compared to the traffic on the A303 and the A344 close by.

I believe that one improvement to the amenity could be made by restricting parking on sections of the BOATs, but allowing through traffic.

I believe that a blanket ban on all vehicles on all routes is total overkill and that amenity improvements can be achieved by applying a more selective and creative approach, so as not to curtail access rights that Wiltshire County Council has a duty to protect.

I thought Wiltshire County Council have a duty to protect the rights of all users on all routes? By applying these proposed TROs, then this can only have a negative impact on those (and the future generations) who choose to drive or ride these routes.

These BOATs are a particularly important part of the Byway network that serves to link to Salisbury Plain.

Have Wiltshire County Council considered calling for a Public Enquiry to fully explore the many options other than the proposed blanket ban?

Yours

Reuben Alcock reuben.alcock@btinternet.com http://www.wiltshiretrf.co.uk Home 01749 860857 Mobile 07917 373607

From: John Agnow [quicksilver@lawneys.freeserve.co.uk] Sent: Fri 12/02/2010 21:06

To: Davey, Kate

Cci

Subject:

Attachments:

I strongly object to the closure of the Stonehenge Drove.

With love and light

John Agnew Rougham Suffolk IP309LW

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: <u>fianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk</u> www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 25 January 2010 22:04

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at

https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 86,177,75.77

Proposal:

Proposal to Prohibit Driving at Stonehenge World Heritage Site

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Tale

Mr

Forename:

Thomas

Surname:

Adler

Address:

34 Mariborough Road, Hadley, Telford, TF1 5LN

E-mail address:

twadler@hotmail.com

Your Comments:

I wish to note my dissatisfaction with the above proposal. I cannot see how the amenities associated with Stonehenge heritage site are maintained or materially improved by the imposition of TROs on the BOATs stated. Since traffic travelling on the route of the A344 to the visitors centre is still permitted, as is traffic on the nearby A303, a major trunk route, the effect on the amenity from road noise and pollution will be negligible. Furthermore since agricultural use is still permitted the BOATs will not become less visible on the ground. This proposal, does however limit the public's ability to access Salisbury Plain by stopping up a number of useful routes across which vehicular use has historically been possible.

Date:

25/01/10

I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:

Yes

Tick to receive email copy of sent form:

Yes

Accept terms and conditions:

Yes

Entered Mon Jan 25 2010 22:17:06 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk] From:

Sent: Tue 16/02/2010 14:39

To:

Dayey, Kate

Cc:

FW: Consultation on prohibition of driving at Stonehenge - Bywitys Open to All Traffic Subject

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lienne.humphnes@willshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk.

From: Robert Alvarez [mailto:robert@alvarez1.co.uk]

Sent: 13 February 2010 09:33

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Consultation on prohibition of driving at Stonehenge - Byways Open to All Traffic

Dear Sirs

I write in objection to the planned closure of the various Byways Open to All Traffic around Stonehenge as detailed in schedule 3 of the proposed order.

The byways form part of England's unique heritage and have provided rights of way to be used and enjoyed by all for many generations. Over time, the use of these rights of way will, inevitably, have changed from foot passage to horse and thereafter to carts and now motorised transport. This is the way of evolution and each change brings new challenges and opportunities.

As such, the use of the current byways by vehicles is simply a means of accessing the countryside and it is nappropriate to discriminate against a particular user group in order to meet the sanitised needs of a commercial attraction.

To suggest that motorised access along these routes would affect the 'amenity and tranquility' of the site is farolcal as the south side of the stones is bounded by the A303 - hardly the quietest road in the County!

Likewise, these byways form an integral and historical route into the county of Wiltshire for those using the byways for recreational purposes - this use brings financial benefits to the pubs, campsites, restaurants, petrol stations and shops of the larger area.

am aware that there are many organisations who promote best practice in use of the byways - the most appropriate approach would surely be to engage with such organisations and enthusiasts' groups to ensure that the site is treated with respect... after all, we are merely guardians of this environment for our children and should treat it as a fragile entity. As such, my children use the byways and have been brought up to respect the world around us.

The proposed closures allow for continued use by agricultural machinery, the size and weight of which is likely to cause continued damage - this would suggest that the proposed closures are a knee-jerk reaction against motorised users rather than a genuine desire to create a sanitised and 'tranquif' theme park around Stonehenge.

Finally, I would point out that, while training is always carried out off-road, the byways provide valuable experience of driving in varying conditions for the various members of 4x4 Response Teams around the country. These teams have been called on extensively in recent months, being asked to deliver meals on wheels, take doctors and key staff to hospitals, get paramedics to injured patients and so on. These drivers form a much needed part of our foul weather resilience plan and their capabilities may be reduced with the widescale closure of byways.

in conclusion, education and engagement will enable these byways to remain open to be enjoyed by all as a leisure activity and I hope that you are able to deliver a facility that offers inclusivity to all... even those who wish to drive along our ancient rights of way.

Yours Falthfully

Robert C Alvarez

4 Clare Crescent

Towcester
Northants
NN12 600
7786 916673
20100212) Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 4862
he message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.
ttp://www.eset.com
his email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.
or more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

From: Humphries, Lianne [Lianne.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk] Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:13

To: Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject: FW: Form: TRD consultations form | Wiltstire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 February 2010 11:26

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 79.145.34.66

Proposal:

Stonehenge TRO

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:



Forename:



Surname:
Chudrens
Address:
(69-Kalemati, J., av., West Designation (188-188)
E-mail address:
Charles and the state of the st
Your Comments:
I wish to state that I object very strongly to the proposed closure of the Stonehenge droves. Public access should remain as it has been.
Date:
16 th February 2010
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website;
No
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
No
Accept terms and conditions:
No
Entered Tue Feb 16 2010 11:38:18 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 February 2010 08:24

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: Traffic order ref A344

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Willshire BA14 8,JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Keith Armstrong [mailto:keith.barmstrong@tiscali.co.uk]

Sent: 09 February 2010 19:52

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Traffic order ref A344

I support the proposal to close the proposed section of the A344 to improve the environment around Stonehenge. This is a very special and extremely ancient monument that should be most carefully preserved.

Yours sincerely,

Keith Armstrong

From:	TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiitsbire.gov.uk]
To:	Davey, Kate

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject: PW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Sent: Mon 01/02/2010 08:07

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@willshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 30 January 2010 23:02

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 86.16.232.68

Proposal:

TRO

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Leslie

Surname:

Address:	
55 King's roa	ad, Gorleston on sea, Great Yarmouth, NR31 7DJ
E-mail addres	SI .
buzr6mille@	hotmail.co.uk
Your Comme	nts:
minuty on us, u	ink these track's should have a TRO's put on them. A few people spoil it for he people who cause the problem's should be found and delt with. Most of us ay's Use them properly and it's the farm vehicle's that do the most damage.
Date:	
no input	
l am happy for website:	my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this
Yes	
Tick to receive	email copy of sent form:
No	
Accept terms a	nd conditions:
Yes	

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Leslie

Surname:

From:	TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]	Sent: Mon 01/02/2010 08:07
To:	Davey, Kate	
Cc:		
Subject: Attachmen	FW: Form: TRO consultations form Wiltshire Council ts:	
Lianne Hur	mphries	
Technical A	dministrative Assistant Traffic Order Team T	ransport Environment & Leisure
Wiltshire Co	puncil County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge	, Wiltshire BA14 8JN
Tel: 01225	713737 Fax: 01225 713207 Email: <u>Hanno, hu</u>	mphries@wiltshire.gov.uk
www.wiltshi	re.gov.uk	
Sent: 30 Ja To: TrafficO	ply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.go nuary 2010 23:02 Orders orm: TRO consultations form Wiltshire Council	ov.uk]
	vas entered into the form at w.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troco 0.232.68	onsultations/troconsultationsform.htm
Proposal:		
TRO		
Reference ((Shown on the scheme page);	
	0/AMES	

Arnold	
Address:	
55 King's	s road, Gorleston on sea, Great Yarmouth, NR31 7DJ
E-mail ad	idress:
buzr6mil	le@hotmail.co.uk
Your Con	niments:
many of t	t think these track's should have a TRO's put on them. A few people spoil it for as, the people who cause the problem's should be found and delt with. Most of us Byway's Use them properly and it's the farm vehicle's that do the most damage.
Date:	
no input	
I am happy website:	y for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on thi
Yes	
Tick to rec	ceive email copy of sent form:
No	
Accept teri	ms and conditions:
Yes	
	it Jan 30 2010 23:14:52 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

From: JeS TRASHbeatS [jesterdream@hotmail.com]

Sent: 10 February 2010 00:02

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: Stonehenge Drove TRO objection

Dear Kate, I have to lodge an objection to the proposed restriction of vehical access to the Stonehenge Drove. This is the place where I and my friends regularly meet, it is a sacred site in itself and people cannot all be reasonably expected to walk there and back each time -for MANY this is just impossible, for others very difficult, and for children and parents of children that may (for example at open access times) choose to stay on the Drove and rest it is effectively denying genuine access to this sacred meeting ground. PLEASE take into account the vast majority of interested parties who are unaware that this access is under threat. You will not hear their voices, but YOU know they are there. People travel all around the world and return to Stonehenge Drove as a home where they will reunite with their family. I trust you can understand this? Please don't act in a way that threatens our family. There are already enough restrictions in this world.

Yours very sincerely, Jes Aronstam

Got a cool Hotmail story? Tell us now

Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:19

Ri	da	L	p	el	pr	,
554	5656			_	-	

Attachments:

From:

Humphries, Lianne [Lianne.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cci

Subject: FW: For

FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 BJN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: <u>Fanne.humphries@willshire.gov.uk</u>

www.wiitshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 13 February 2010 08:25

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 86.147.199.226

Proposal:

stonehenge tro

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

kad/tro/ames

Title:

SMES

Forename:

johnny

Surname:
Address:
33 reservoir road, roldip,midde)
E-mail address:
Carried Statement Sections
Your Comments:
it is really important for the public (me) to be able to park up close th stonehenge sometimes, i always stop for a while when i travel east to west and back and enjoy being in the landscape of stonehenge and have done this for the past 40 years.
I do not want to have to ask permission or pay someone to be able to do this the droves are public property and our rights to have access are ancient and need to be kept in our hands. There are plenty of bylaws that prevent abuse and misuse.
also, there is the issue of access for the solstice and the equinox periods which is vital for the continuation of our attendance and community relationships during these periods.
this access must not be subject to the need to request permission - although that permission has been suggested by english heritage through the format of permits, there is no guarantee that this will prevail through future generations.
This issue is fundamental to our essential rights here in the uk and setting this as a precedent is unnacceptable
freedom of access to this area IS our heritage and must be preserved at all costs.
I will join any action that is mounted to stop this order from becoming tow : please make sure that this objection is entered into your process of evaluating this closure order
thank you 1) of
Date:
13/02/10
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:
No
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
No
Accept terms and conditions:
Yes

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk.

From: cathy attwood [mailto:cathystrong@hotmail.co.uk]

Sent: 26 January 2010 07:42

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge World Heritage Site Plans

Cathy Attwood 49,Selborne Grove Keighley Bradford BD21 1HP

Dear sir or madam,

I would like to voice my support for the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) advertised in The Salisbury Journal on 21st of January 2010 that aims to prevent motorised vehicles with specified exceptions from using the section of the A344 between Airman's Corner and Byway 12, and also Byways 11 and 12 within the Stonehenge World Heritage Site. I believe the TRO will help achieve one of the major aims set out in the Stonehenge World Heritage Site Plan and go some way to restore dignity to this important site.

Yours sincerely Cathy Attwood

Do you want a Hotmail account? Sign-up now - Free

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

This email originates from Wiltshire Council and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information and may be subject to Copyright or Intellectual Property rights. It is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender and delete the email from your inbox. Any disclosure, reproduction, dissemination, modification and distribution of the contents of the email is strictly prohibited. Email content may be monitored by Wiltshire Council to ensure compliance with its policies and procedures. No contract is intended by this email, and any personal opinions expressed in this message are those of the sender and should not be taken as representing views of Wiltshire Council. Please note Wiltshire Council utilises anti-virus scanning software but does not warrant that any e-mail or attachments are free from viruses or other defects and accepts no liability for any losses resulting from infected e-mail transmissions.

Receipt of this e-mail does not imply consent to use or provide this e-mail address to any third party for any purpose. Wiltshire Council will not request the disclosure of personal financial information by means of e-mail any such request should be confirmed in writing by contacting Wiltshire Council.

From:

BP Stonehenge [stonehengevisitorcentre@bell-pottinger.co.uk]

Sent:

26 October 2009 10:56

To:

Ridal, Peter

Subject:

FW. Traffic Flow.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status:

Red

Dear Peter,

Please see email below from a local Shrewton resident. I will explain to her that I have forwarded her email to the relevant member of the project team. I haven't referred to you or Halcrow by name. I will also explain that she has to respond to Wiltshire directly and provide her with the necessary link.

Best wishes.

Helen

From: J AVERY [mailto:jackieavery1/@btinternet.com]

Sent: 23 October 2009 10:43

To: BP Stonehenge Subject: Traffic Flow.

As a resident of Shrewton, I am very concerned about the congestion that will build up at Airmans Cross, and would like to suggest that an additional left lane be constucted to take the A360 traffic north traffic. This may not be your responsibility so please send it the relevant ?WCC Highways Department for me please. Yours Jackie Avery.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE AND LEGAL LIABILITY WAIVER: The content of this email and any attachments are CONFIDENTIAL and may contain privileged information. If you are not the addressee it may be UNLAWFUL for you to read, copy, distribute, disclose or otherwise use the information contained herein. The content of the message and or attachments may not reflect the view and opinions of the originating company or any party it is representing.

If you are NGT the intended recipient then please email back to itsupport@chime.plc.uk

Click here to report this email as spam.

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 09 February 2010 12:32

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: green lanes around the stonehenge monument

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Gound) | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: delian backhouse-fry [mailto:delianfry@hotmail.com]

Sent: 09 February 2010 12:31

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: green lanes around the stonehenge monument

Following our CBA Wesses, Executive Committee meeting at the Salisbury Museum, 3rd Feb. 2010, I was astounded to hear from Dr Francis Taylor, that the various groups representing motorbikes and four wheel drive machines are contesting the closure of these green lanes, near the monument.

I cannot imagine how anyone would not realise that, the whole point of this immense effort by many organisations, including Wiultshire County Council, is- to provide better access and thereby a far better experience of our iconic monument. It would be totally lost in the roar of 21st century selfish behavior. This monument and its surroundings, represent the roots of our country and our connection, with the earth of Britain.

Therefore I for one, would object most strongly to the continued use of green lanes and other routes that would destroy the whole ethos of, what we have all been working toward, for the past 25 years.

Delian Backhouse Fry. BA Hons. MSc. Council for British Archaeology. Isle of Wight Representitive, Isle of Wight Natural History and Archaeology Society. YAC, Isle of Wight Branch. Isle of Wight. Half Moon Cottage Old Park, St Lawrence, Isle of Wight. PO381XR

Not got a Hotmail account? Sign-up now - Free

Jane Bailey

Farm, Wilsford Cum Lake, Nr. Salisbury, Willis SP4 7BY Tel: 01980 622964

World Heritage Truffe Order 2010

can him to support the above

invedly

TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT & LEISURE

-8 FEB 2010 ACK

2 10-

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8-IN

Tei: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: fignne.humphries@wilfshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 25 January 2010 09:09

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at

https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 194.62.232.126

Proposal:

Prohibition of driving at stonehenge world heritage site

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Mark

Surname:

Bailey

Address:

8 Laughton Way

Abbeymeads

Swindon

Wiltshire

SN25 4XP

E-mail address:

scube.bailey@btinternet.com

Your Comments:

I abject to the proposed removal of vehicular rights from the 3 byways that run from

Larkhill to the existing visitor centre (BOAT Durrington 10)

across the A344 past the henge to the A303 (BOAT Amesbury 12)

and from the A303 over to the A360 (BOAT Berwick St. James 11)

also from the A303 down towards Wilsford (BOAT Wilsford Cum Lake 2)

These ancient byways our part of our heritage as much as Stonehenge and should be treated with the same care.

Date:

25 January 2010

I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:

Yes

Tick to receive email copy of sent form:

Yes

Accept terms and conditions:

Yes

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 05 February 2010 07:40

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: Stonehenge TRO

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BAL4 MUS

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne, humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk -----Original Message---

From: Mark Baker [mailto:bakerml@mac.com]

Sent: 04 February 2010 18:45

To: TraffluOrders

Subject: Stonehenge TRO

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to convey my support for the proposed traffic regulation order that will cover the Stonehenge World Heritage Site. I was the County Counciller for the old County Division of Durrington and Bulford which contains the northern part of the World Heritage Site, for H years.

During these 8 years and beyond, local people have demonstrated their support for the Stone Circle to be returned to as natural setting as possible, and have always supported an end to the accident black spot at Airman's Cross and at the opposite end of the A344 the junction with the A303. Many people have lost their lives at those two places.

It is my belief that the effects of the TRO will provide a solution to these two long standing blights on our local landscape. In saying this local people along with myself recognise that the closure of the A344 am proposed will mean increased traffic along the ancient road known as the Packway, as local truffic from Shrewton travels via this alternative route towards Ameabury and the A303. This road requires much improvement, not only with regard to the road surface, but road safety measures will be needed at Rollestone Crossroads, and through the Garrison Village of Larkhill, with particular emphasis on the Zebra Crossing and the speed limit prevailing throughout the village boundaries.

I trust filected Members will make such recommendations accordingly if they are minded to approve this TRO.

Yours sincerely.

Mark Baker Larkhill Resident County Councillor 2001-2009.

Sent: Tue 16/02/2010 14:34

Ridal, Peter

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To: Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject: FW: KAD/TRO/AMES

Attachments:

Llanne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 83N

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

----Original Message----

From: Simon Banton [mailto:simon@web.org.uk]

Sent: 15 February 2010 13:10

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Ref: KAD/TRO/AMES

Dear Wiltshire Council,

I would like to confirm my wholehearted support for the proposal, subject to the permit scheme policy on exemptions at solstices and equinoxes being fairly administered.

Simon Banton 11 Avon Banks Figheldean SP4 8JU

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc

Subject:

FW: Stonehenge TRO proposal

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisun

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: ligone.humphries@witshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: JBrb66@aol.com [mailto:JBrb66@aol.com]

Sent: 13 February 2010 08:11

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge TRO proposal

John Barber

Sent: Tue 16/02/201

1 Ashley close

Bookham

Surrey

KT23 3QJ

Kate Davey
Senior Traffic Order Technician
Dept. for Transport, Environment & Leisure
Wiltshire County Council
County Hall
Bythesea Road
Trowbridge

BA14 8JN
Dear Kate Davey
I object to the TRO's as proposed, there area number of reasons why I feel that my objection to the TRO must be considered.
Stonehenge was constructed at an intersection of a number of routes, that are as relevant to the site as the stones themselves, why should the BOATS be closed when there is are heavily used major A roads running close by.
The Stonehenge BOATS form a link to BOATS and UCR's east, west and north of Stonehenge closing them to motorised vehicles will force vehicles using these routes to make lengthily diversions in order to get round the Stonehenge site using very busy A roads.
Appendix 3 of the WCC report makes a number of points concerning road safety yet there is no concern for the BOAT users who will be forced onto busy roads.
The Boats under threat of closure are very wide and therefore plenty of room for all classes of users, surveys show that motorised use is low, so I can see no valid traffic / safely issue. There could be controls such as no parking on the BOATs and prohibition of use on bank/ school holidays.
The proposal states that closing the BOATS will improve the amenity of the area, with the two busy major A roads running close by I see that the TRO's will make no difference to improvement to the amenity.
I suggest that there is a public enquiry, to clarify how this proposed TRO will benefit all users and this includes motorised users, as there seem to be a distinct basis against motorised users in this proposal.
regards
John Barber

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cct

Subject: PW: Form: TRO consultations form | Witshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Goungil | County Half, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Sent: Fri 12/02/2010 11:10

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: ljagne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2010 11:11

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 78.145.144.8

Proposal:

Stonehenge TRO

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Mrs

Forename:

Claire

Surname:

Barnett
Address:
Flat 5 Sorrento, St Lukes Road North Torquay
E-mail address:
clairealou@yahoo.com
Your Comments:
I understand there are plans afoot to change current public access arrangements near Stonehenge, by closing the road or drove, that passes immediately beside the stones. I urge you to consider my objection and the many others you will no doubt receive, from member of the public whom have enjoyed and continue to enjoy, within the law, the stones and their importance as part of our spiritual and cultural landscape.
Closing the drove will prevent many citizens from stopping by Stonehenge to enjoy it as part if their daily life or their spiritual practice. This becomes an issue of basic rights in the UK - maintaining our right to room through public land. I fear if the changes in the planning stages are implemented it will become the start of a slippery slope for allowing other changes in law in the UK which deny as our right to room, and make it harder for us to practice and celebrate our way of life.
Please reconsider this proposal and keep the drove to Stonehenge open.
Date:
12/02/2010
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:
Yes
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
No
Accept terms and conditions:
Yes
Entered Fri Feb 12 2010 11:23:17 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

From:

TrufficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject:

FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Sent: Fri 12/02/2010 13:04

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trawbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lanne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2010 13:05

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 20.133.40.13

Proposal:

Stone henge TROs

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

aldatis.

Forename:

Sardon

Surname:

(Baron)
Address:
21 Kay cressent Headley Down near Bordon Hampshire GU35 8AH
E-mail address:
gorden 123123@yalten.comp
Your Comments:
I object to the Stonehenge TROs on the local byways Please don I keep TROing the BOATS! Please look after them with a little maintenance! There is nothing nicer than pottering around these lanes enjoying the views! I thought Wijhhire had a good policy of maintaining lanes on as not to attract the undestrable element!
The remain given is the poorest one yet for closing a lane! These are historical tracks and should be kept open for all to enjoy! Not all of us can walk miles or ride hikes and horses to enjoy the country and spectacular views of Stonehenge!
Regards Gordon Baron for what its worth!
Date:
12/02/2010
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:
No
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
No
Accept terms and conditions:

Yes

Entered Fri Feb 12 2010 13:17:52 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 25 January 2010 12:40

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at

https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 193.62.88.7

Proposal:

Amesbury TRO's

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Als

Forename:

التعلقان

Surname:

Barry

Address:

(45 towynedd Ave.)

Cownhiii

Swansea:

SALGE.

E-mail address:

charry-photosimbonnanet

Your Comments:

I hereby wish to object to the proposed TRO's on all the byways listed in the parish of Amesbury.

Date:

25/1/10

I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:

No

Tick to receive email copy of sent form:

No

Accept terms and conditions:

Yes

Entered Mon Jan 25 2010 12:53:06 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Ray Batchelor [mailto:ray@batchy.co.uk]

Sent: 25 January 2010 15:03

To: TrafficOrders Subject: Road closure

Ray Batchelor 44 Moors Close Christchurch Dorset BH23 6AL

Dear sir or madam,

I would like to voice my support for the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) advertised in *The Salisbury Journal* on 21st of January 2010 that aims to prevent motorised vehicles with specified exceptions from using the section of the A344 between Airman's Corner and Byway 12, and also Byways 11 and 12 within the Stonehenge World Heritage Site. I believe the TRO will help achieve one of the major aims set out in the Stonehenge World Heritage Site Plan and go some way to restore dignity to this important site.

Yours sincerely.

Ray Batchelor

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@willshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cct

Subject:

FW: Objection to Stonehenge TROs FAO Kate Davey

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 BJN

Sent: Thu 11/02/2010 07:55

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne, humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Bob sixninesix [mailto:bob696@gmail.com]

Sent: 10 February 2010 20:24

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Objection to Stonehenge TROs FAO Kate Davey

Dear Ms Davey.

Regarding the Traffic Regulation Orders centred around Stonehenge

I object to the TROs as proposed.

- A) These TROs will force byway traffic onto fast moving trunk roads for which they are not suited. This has major safety implications.
- B) The TROs are utterly pointless given that the A303 is now not to be moved/buried. The numbers of motorised byway users must be an incredibly small percentage of traffic passing the henge. The A303 also runs nearer I believe.
- C) The proposed TROs are not fact based but desire based. As I understand the statute the TRO must benefit the users not the people who simply want them closed and never use them anyway.
- D) The byways are in extremely good condition and do not suffer from degrapding due to excessive use.
- E) A public Inquiry is required so that all parties can air their opinions in the open.

I would also like to make it clear that although I live a fair distance away I visit the area at least twice a year and drive these byways on each occasion.

What would benefit the byway users and non byway users alike would be a parking ban upon the

byways. Everybody wins except the inconsiderate few who have no objection to blocking a public right of way.

Bob Bate 59 Bunns Lane Dudley West Midlands

From:

Transportplanning [transportplanning@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent:

16 February 2010 08:24

To:

Davey, Kate

Subject:

FW: Closure of A344

Importance: High

Kate

For you - who is Brendon Pell?

Allan Creedy Transport & Development Manager Wiltshire Council

Telephone: 01225 713444 Mobile: 07771 835728

email: allan creedy@wiltshire.gov.uk

web: www.wiltshire.gov.uk

(Please note change to email format)

From: Norman Bayne [mailto:norman.bayne@btinternet.com]

Sent: 15 February 2010 21:25

To: Transportplanning Subject: Closure of A344

Importance: High

FAO: Mr Brendon Pell

Transportation and Development Services

Dear Mr. Pell

ROAD TRAFFIC REGULATION ACT 1984 (PROHIBITION OF DRIVING) ORDER 2010

Tilshead Parish Council wish to **OBJECT** to introducing a Prohibition of Driving Order to all motor vehicles at all times with an exception for access to the Stonehenge World Heritage Site, Visitors Centre Car Park during variable hours on the following length of road: **A344 from** the Airman's Corner junction eastwards for a distance of approximately 130 metres.

It is the view of the Parish Council that the closure of the A344, independently of any major improvements to the A303, would increase congestion. Both local and long distance traffic would be attracted to the inappropriate local road network for example through Bulford, Larkhill, into Shrewton High Street onto the A360 and through the village of Tilshead. Additional problems would be caused to emergency vehicles serving Tilshead and along the A303 West of Amesbury.

Yours Sincerely

Norman Bayne Chairman Tilshead Parish Council

From: Ian Bulcock [bioduk@hotmail.com]

Sent: 06 February 2010 14:12

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: TRO at Stonehenge Objection

Dear Kate Davey,

It is with some concern that I hear of the TRO proposed to prevent parking near Stonehenge. As a disabled person this would make my visit to the area impossible, particularly when attending ceremonies outside of English heritage opening hours at this UNESCO world cultural heritage site. As Im sure you can appreciate, it is neccessary to rest and recouperate for a reasonable period of hours after travelling from Cumbria to Wiltshire in order to prepare for the dawn and sunset ceremonies. These needs are specified under my rights according to the Disability Discrimination Act - this relates to the express need for anyone with a disability to be able to have reasonable access to tollet and washing facilities. Obviously this is not possible when English Heritage close the toilet facilities and the car park/ or when the car park is full. These facilities can be provided via my own caravan and car which both require the facility of parking on the byways and droves closely adjacent to the entrance to the temple/monument of Stonehenge. Perhaps the Traffic order authority might consider these points. I would also like to hear the reasons for this TRO as I cannot see any possible benefit or indeed any problem with the current situation. What this restriction would effectively do is create traffic and pedestrian chaos, not only in the local Stonehenge area but in surrounding areas as vehicles ferried people to and from the exclusion zones, vehicles would accumulate on the borders of exclusion zones and hundreds of walkers would be scattered all over the surrounding land attempting to make their way to and from the stones. I look forward to hearing the authorities views and response to the points raised above.

Yours sincerely

Margaret Beaufort

Do you have a story that started on Hotmail? Tell us now

Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:14

-			-	ter
521	0.0	100	ED cor	
n.	140			

From:

Humphries, Lianne [Lianne.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cci

Subject:

FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire SA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 15 February 2010 18:46

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 86.161.114.249

Proposal:

proposal for a Prohibition of Driving at Stonehenge World Heritage Site

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Mark

474			
331	TIT	THE	Digital.

Beckett

Address:

10 Two Gate Meadow, Overton, Hampshire, RG25 3TG

E-mail address:

mb_mjsdesign@btinternet.com

Your Comments:

I wish to lodge my objection to the proposals for a Prohibition of Driving at Stonehenge World Heritage Site specifically with regard TRO's on the BOATs in the area. Objections included are to the proposed TRO's to BOATs Amesbury 12 & Durrington 10 North of the A303 as well as BOATs Bewick St. James 11, Wilsford Cum Lake 1, Amesbury 11, Wilsford Cum Lake 2, Woodford 16, and South of the A303.

My reasons being as follows:

I have used the BOATOs in this area on many occusions; on foot, mountain bike and trail bike. However I do not agree with the proposal of down grading these byways to restricted status. I am a member of the Southern Trail Riders Fellowship who is fully supportive of local Councils and all user groups in maintaining the use of ancient rights of way such as the BOAT is in the Stone Henge area to ensure that all user groups have safe and continuous shared access. The TRF promote safe and considerate use of legal Byways open to all traffic in order to preserve historic trails used for centuries by vehicular traffic. As a BOAT - Byway Open To All Traffic () they are classed as an unscaled public road which means that it is subject to the same laws as any other road. Motor vehicles must be fully road legal (tax, insurance, MOT etc) and must be used in a safe and considerate manner. For the TRF trail riding is a legitimate recreational activity but it is remembered that BOATS are multi user routes where other vulnerable road users will be met (children, walkers, cyclists, borse riders etc) and the safety of other users is paramount. As a public carriageway the local Council is legally responsible for maintaining the safe and satisfactory condition and use of these HOAT | for all users even those in the minority such as Truit bike riders. Since the introduction of the NERC Act Byways Open To All Traffic have been drastically reduced in numbers which makes the remaining BOAT's extremely important and a public available resource that needs to be protected. In addition to which the effective reduction in amount of lanes and Byways with legal access availability for motor vehicles will cause higher congestion on the remaining available. The Council has a statutory duty in law to protect all users rights, including those of MPV user groups together with the consideration of all other options before imposing Traffic Regulation Orders.

The only user group (a minority user but with same rights) specifically affected by such closures are the motorised vehicle users. Walkers, Horse riders and cyclists have a huge number of dedicated byways, footpaths and cycle trails already to use in addition to the BOAT's. The Council must take notice that the reduced number of available vehicle access lanes is such that in a considered legal view point those lanes with vehicle access and the preservation of vehicle access rights is now more important than the possible issues other user groups may have with vehicle access. To remove vehicle access rights will prejudice MPV users.

As new bridleways, horse trails, footpaths and the rights to roam have been formed this means these groups have increased their access with totally new byways and the balance should now be re-set to preserve access rights for MPV users. As the Council should know a Truffic Regulation Order can restrict the access of any type of user to the benefit of another. Larger or heavier vehicles can be restricted while smaller vehicles allowed continued occess us has been applied in a number of areas to allow vehicles of a certain type continued access. It is also possible to restrict pedestrians, or cyclists, or horse riders and yet still allow vehicle access.

I note that a new path / road is proposed along the closed A344 from the new visitors centre to the site and this will cross the BOATs Amesbury 12 & Durrington 10 to the West of the Stone Circle. I would point out that there were no previous recorded problems or issues with the use of these BOAT() a when it crossed the busy A344 with its high speed truffle (National speed limit) from both directions. Therefore why would there be an issue now with the proposed new visitors tractor train and pedestrian use? Surely it would be simpler to restrict the width of access to both of these BOAT's where they meet with the new proposed visitor access route. This could be done by simply moving the existing gate posts closer together and providing a gate, traffic would have to stop, dismount, and open the gate to cross over the new visitors access route meaning there would be no danger or conflict or visibility issues.

It would appear that it is OK for English Heritage and the Planning Dept to allow a large tractor to pull a string of passenger trailers / land trains along the new access road which in effect will have a greater impact on these Byways than the minority of comparatively small, less polluting, less noisy, road legal trail bikes currently proposed to be made illegal from utilising a legal byway. If the A303 remains open then there is no valid reason for the TRO as access to the new site will be along the new route along the old A344 and visitor access will be separate from any other traffic. The closed byways would be on the far side of one of the most widely used roads the extremely busy / noisy A303. The A303 will totally overshadow any other use of any of the byways to the South both visually and audibly. Any MPV use on the referred to Byways would effectively be masked by the A303. If the reason is to prevent vehicle parking along these BOATs then the simple option would be to provide a restricted width to access

them with a gate for permitted larger vehicles. This would allow open and free access to pedestrians, wheelchairs, horses, cycles and trail motorcycles while preventing larger vehicles from entering the BOATs and parking. This would be far simpler than any permit scheme while allowing access without the need to monitor.

Ultimately it is impossible to argue for any quiet amenity of the area whilst the A303 remains open to traffic and closer to the main visitors site than these BOATs.

I would ask whether any afternatives to closure have been properly considered as is required such as offering a suitable alternative route should an existing right of way be closed. Have the Council considered providing an alternative route or even redirecting the existing route to the edge of the English Heritage site?

In addition to the above has any calculations or considerations been made to the increase in vehicular traffic generated by English Heritage site proposals which will make any current legal MPV use of the BOAT a totally insignificant. In effect the proposals of the new visitors Centre will create a far greater intrusion to the amenity of the site as does the expected increase in visitors assumbers. It does not stand scrutiny to continue with the proposal in close the existing byways with a blanket Traffic Regulation Order or to allow English Heritage to run any permit scheme.

In summary I would make clear my objections to the proposed TRO's. I suggest that other alternatives are tried on this site first. It is clear that this site has not had any history of problems associated with the small number of MPV use along the byways. It is also clear that such MPV access is increasingly restricted and it is the Councils duty to protect those user rights. The simple fact that such access is abready greatly restricted just gives strength to the need to give more consideration to any proposals to maintain and protect MPV access

It would be far better to leave things as they are and possibly restrict visitor numbers rather than increase them. If English Heritage were indeed interested in the visual amenity of the site they would not be proposing such a change

I suspect that the English Heritage intention to increase visitor numbers and visitors to their higger shop is a husiness consideration rather than any possible quiet amenity improvement.

I trust my comments are of assistance in the assemblance of the Council fact file. Please be assured of my best intentions and that if required I am more than happy to attend a discussion or action group and to assist in any way I can.

Date:

no input

I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:

Yes

Tick to receive email copy of sent form:

Yes

Accept terms and conditions:

Yes

Entered Mon Feb 15 2010 18:58:35 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 February 2010 08:23

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: Proposed closure of Byways around Stonehenge

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01226 713207 | Email: fianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Davehbennett@aol.com [mailto:Davehbennett@aol.com]

Sent: 09 February 2010 21:28

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Proposed closure of Byways around Stonehenge

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to object to the proposed closure of the byways in the area of Stonehenge.

These are truly ancient Rights of Way that should be open to all users as an equally ancient rill appreciate that perhaps they might be wished closed by some interested parties as a precaumeasure to prevent possible damage, but this is based on a rather biased, prejudiced point of To consider closing these Byways is again reinforcing the belief that drivers of 4 wheel drive virials bikes only wish to damage the environment and destroys ancient sites.

From my experience the Byways around Stonehenge are in pretty fair condition, they have no damaged by vehicular use. They seem to have been respected and enjoyed by the people without.

I would like to see all users of Rights of Way maintain their right to experience Stonehenge in way, despite the fact that the technology available today has changed from the time when the were first established. Over their centuries of use the tracks were upgraded or changed to adduse and the technology of the time, is this not so?

Perhaps these tracks could continue to be maintained as they always were, throughout the ce allow for their continued and changing use.

Changes may need to be made at this time moment to accommodate the altering needs of too make provision for the future. Discussion and dialogue with all interested groups is important ϵ help to accommodate needs and views of all of us who love these ancient tracks.

Change must take place, some Byways may have to closed to protect delicate precious areas that and I appreciate that the damage caused by an ignorant 4wd driver is worse than the causignorant walker, cyclist or rider, but I argue in favour of keeping a fair and reasonable open to visible signage must be displayed, to help educate and inform us if we need it.

These are our rights and freedoms, these are our ancient monuments. May I respectfully remir you are our custodians of these places and ought make these decisions on our behalf both wire fairly?

Yours Faithfully.
David Bennett
Rights of Way officer, South London & Surrey Land Rover Club
c/o 53 Philpot Square. London SW6 3HT

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject:

FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisu

Sent: Mon 01/02/2010

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@willshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 31 January 2010 13:52

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultations/from 81.63.106.174

Proposal:

THE COUNTY OF WILTSHIRE (STONEHENGE WORLD HERITAGE SIT PARISHES OF AMESBURY, BERWICK ST JAMES, DURRINGTON, WILS LAKE, WINTERBOURNE STOKE AND WOODFORD) (PROHIBITION OF ORDER 2010

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:



Forename:



Address: E-mail address: E-mail address: The stated reason for prohibiting motor vehicles is 'For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply with the reason. The hyways have very little motorised traffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others. What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: attribute I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions: Yes Entered Sun Jan 31 2010 14:05:33 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)	Surname:
E-mail address: Be a stated reason for prohibiting motor vehicles is 'For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area' as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply with the reason. The byways have very little motorised traffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others. What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: The hympy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	(Scattley))
E-mail address: Be all a fine intentity and the stated reason for prohibiting motor vehicles is 'For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area' as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply with the reason. The hyways have very little motorised traffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others. What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: To input I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	Address:
Your Comments: The stated reason for prohibiting motor vehicles is 'For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area' as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply with the reason. The hyways have very little motorised traffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others. What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: no input I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	Kettering Nucthamptonstate
Your Comments: The stated reason for prohibiting motor vehicles is 'For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area' as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply with the reason. The byways have very little motorised traffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others. What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: no input I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	E-mail address:
The stated reason for prohibiting motor vehicles is 'For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area' as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply with the reason. The byways have very little motorised truffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others. What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: No Imput Lam happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	efficiell cincembiration and
amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area' as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply with the reason. The byways have very little motorised traffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others. What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: To Input I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	Your Comments:
What is the real reason for this proposal? Date: no input I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	amenities of the area through which the road runs.' I cannot see how closing any of these roads to the public can be considered 'preserving or improving the amenities of the area' as the byways themselves are amenities. In my opinion, the proposed action does not comply
Date: no input I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	The byways have very little motorised traffic in proportion to the A303. Surely consideration should be given to closing the A303 before any of the others.
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	What is the real reason for this proposal?
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website: No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions:	Date:
No Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions: Yes	no inpui
Tick to receive email copy of sent form: Yes Accept terms and conditions: Yes	website:
Yes Accept terms and conditions: Yes	No
Accept terms and conditions: Yes	Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
Yes	Yes
	Accept terms and conditions:
Entered Sun Jan 31 2010 14:05:33 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)	Yes
	Entered Sun Jan 31 2010 14:05:33 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

-

Sent: Tue 16/02/2010 14:35

Ridal, Peter

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject:

FW: Stonehenge Road Closure

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall Bythesea Road Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphrigs@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshing.gov.uk

From: Martin Bergs [mailto:martin@mbztechnical.com]

Sent: 15 February 2010 12:25

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge Road Closure

Subject: Stonehenge Road Closure

Dear Sirs.

STONEHENGE ROAD CLOSURE

I welcome and wholeheartedly support the proposals to improve the setting of Stonehenge by means of road closures. The closure of the A344 will physically reconnect Stonehenge with its Avenue and greatly improve the dignity and tranquility of the henge. Equally important are the proposed restrictions to the use of the adjacent byways that cross the World Heritage Site and its immediate surroundings. The vehicles that currently use them for recreational purposes are a visual and audible intrusion into what are in some cases very tranquil parts of the landscape and can cause physical damage to ancient earthworks and buried archaeological remains.

There are clearly those who do not wish to have their use of the byways restricted but, within the Stonehenge landscape the international importance of the archaeology and the overall aim of enhancing the setting of Stonehenge should take precedence over the wishes of a small (but vocal) minority.
I urge you to carry out the proposed road closures on both the A344 and the adjacent byways.
Yours
Martin and Maija Bergs
This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

Sent: Tue 16/02/2010 14:34

Ridal, Peter

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To: Davey, Kate

Cct

Subject: FW: Stonehenge mad closure

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistent | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wittehire Council | County Hall, Bytheses Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 SJN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.willshire.gov.uk

From: Jill Bewley [mailto:jillbewley@hotmail.com]

Sent: 15 February 2010 13:39

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge road closure

Dear Sirs,

STONEHENGE ROAD CLOSURE

I welcome and wholeheartedly support the proposals to improve the setting of Stonehenge by means of road closures. The closure of the A344 will physically reconnect Stonehenge with its Avenue and greatly improve the dignity and tranquillity of the henge. Equally important are the proposed restrictions to the use of the adjacent byways that cross the World Heritage Site and its immediate surroundings. The vehicles that currently use them for recreational purposes are a visual and audible intrusion into what are in some cases very tranquil parts of the landscape and can cause physical damage to ancient earthworks and buried archaeological remains.

There are clearly those who do not wish to have their use of the byways restricted but, within the Stonehenge

For more in	has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. formation please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email
-	nt a Hotmail account? <u>Sign-up now - Free</u>
Jill Bewley	
Yours	
I urge you to	a carry out the proposed road closures on both the A344 and the adjacent byways.
Stonenenge	e should take precedence over the wishes of a small (but vocal) minority.
landscape t	the international importance of the archaeology and the overall aim of enhancing the setting of

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 February 2010 14:32

To: Davay, Kate

Subject: FW: Stonehenge road closures

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713787 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: llanne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Daniel Billing [mailto:db15005@ghs.truropenwith.ac.uk]

Sent: 15 February 2010 15:58

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge road closures

Dear Sirs.

STONEHENGE ROAD CLOSURE

I welcome and wholeheartedly support the proposals to improve the setting of Stonehenge by means of road closures. The closure of the A344 will physically reconnect Stonehenge with its Avenue and greatly improve the dignity and tranquility of the henge. Equally important are the proposed restrictions to the use of the adjacent byways that cross the World Heritage Site and its immediate surroundings. The vehicles that currently use them for recreational purposes are a completely non-vital visual and audible intrusion into what are in some cases very tranquil parts of the landscape and can cause physical damage to ancient earthworks and buried archaeological remains.

There are clearly those who do not wish to have their use of the byways restricted but, within the Stonehenge landscape the international importance of the archaeology and the overall aim of enhancing the setting of Stonehenge should take precedence over the wishes of a small (but vocal) minority.

I urge you to carry out the proposed road closures on both the A344 and the adjacent byways.

Daniel Billing

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Tot

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject: FW:

Attachments:

FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Sent: Fri 12/02/2010 07:56

Witshire Council | County Hall, Bytnesea Road, Troworldge, Wiltshire BA14 BJN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@willshire.gov.uk

www.witshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 11 February 2010 18:42

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 81.108.189.218

Proposal:

Lengths of Byway Open To All Traffic in the Town of Amesbury and Parishes of Berwick St James, Durrington, Wilsford cum Lake and Woodford subject to Prohibition of Motor Vehicles at all times except permit holders

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:



Forename:

Steven

St	imame;
ibi	
A	ddress:
(A)	reschure turn volling generalises (Annua appellist)
E-	mail address:
ø	ONE-CONCRETE METERS OF A COST OF THE COST
Y	our Comments:
I	object to the proposed TRO .
D	nte:
11	feb 2010
La	um happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may ebsite:
N	
Ti	ck to receive email copy of sent form:
Y	es
A	ccept terms and conditions:
Y	ts.
Er	ntered Thu Feb 11 2010 18:54:31 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 February 2010 14:29

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: Stonehenge Road Closure

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Witshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Boad, Trowbridge, Witshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: llanne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: David Bird [mailto:davidgeorgebird@ntlworld.com]

Sent: 15 February 2010 21:19

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge Road Closure

Dear Sirs.

STONEHENGE ROAD CLOSURE

I welcome and wholeheartedly support the proposals to improve the setting of Stonehenge by means of road closures. The closure of the A344 will physically reconnect Stonehenge with its Avenue and greatly improve the dignity and tranquility of the henge. Equally important are the proposed restrictions to the use of the adjacent byways that cross the World Heritage Site and its immediate surroundings. The vehicles that currently use them for recreational purposes are a visual and audible intrusion into what are in some cases very tranquil parts of the landscape and can cause physical damage to ancient earthworks and buried archaeological remains.

There are clearly those who do not wish to have their use of the byways restricted but, within the Stonehenge landscape the international importance of the archaeology and the overall aim of enhancing the setting of Stonehenge should take precedence over the wishes of a small (but vocal) minority.

I urge you to carry out the proposed road closures on both the A344 and the adjacent byways.

Yours faithfully

Dr D G Bird

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 February 2010 14:29

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: Stonehenge Road Closure

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wilfshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: David Bird [mailto:davidgeorgebird@ntlworld.com]

Sent: 15 February 2010 21:19

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge Road Closure.

Dear Sirs,

STONEHENGE ROAD CLOSURE

I welcome and wholeheartedly support the proposals to improve the setting of Stonehenge by means of road closures. The closure of the A344 will physically reconnect Stonehenge with its Avenue and greatly improve the dignity and tranquility of the henge. Equally important are the proposed restrictions to the use of the adjacent byways that cross the World Heritage Site and its immediate surroundings. The vehicles that currently use them for recreational purposes are a visual and audible intrusion into what are in some cases very tranquil parts of the landscape and can cause physical damage to ancient earthworks and buried archaeological remains.

There are clearly those who do not wish to have their use of the byways restricted but, within the Stonehenge landscape the international importance of the archaeology and the overall aim of enhancing the setting of Stonehenge should take precedence over the wishes of a small (but vocal) minority.

I urge you to carry out the proposed road closures on both the A344 and the adjacent byways.

Yours faithfully

Dr D G Bird

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 February 2010 14:31

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: Stonehenge Road Closure

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Joanna Bird [mailto:joanna.bird4@ntlworld.com]

Sent: 15 February 2010 21:10

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge Road Closure

Subject: Stonehenge Road Closure

Dear Sirs.

STONEHENGE ROAD CLOSURE

I welcome and wholeheartedly support the proposals to improve the setting of Stonehenge by means of road closures. The closure of the A344 will physically reconnect Stonehenge with its Avenue and greatly improve the dignity and tranquility of the henge. Equally important are the proposed restrictions to the use of the adjacent byways that cross the World Heritage Site and its immediate surroundings. The vehicles that currently use them for recreational purposes are a visual and audible intrusion into what are in some cases very tranquil parts of the landscape and can cause physical damage to ancient earthworks and buried archaeological remains.

There are clearly those who do not wish to have their use of the byways restricted but, within the Stonehenge landscape the international importance of the archaeology and the overall aim of enhancing the setting of Stonehenge should take precedence over the wishes of a small (but vocal) minority.

lurge you to carry out the proposed road closures on both the A344 and the adjacent byways.

Yours

Joanna Bird, FSA

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk] From: To:

Davey, Kate

Cct

Subject: FW: Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 83N

Sent: Mon 01/02/2010 08:06

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

----- Original Message-----

From: siblack@tiscali.co.uk [mailto:siblack@tiscali.co.uk]

Sent: 31 January 2010 09:35

To: TrafficOrders

Subject:

Dear sir or madam,

I would like to voice my support for the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) advertised in The Salisbury Journal on 21st of January 2010 that aims to prevent motorised vehicles with specified exceptions from using the section of the A344 between Airman's Corner and Byway 12, and also Byways 11 and 12 within the Stonehenge World Heritage Site, I believe the TRO will help achieve one of the major aims set out in the Stonehenge World Heritage Site Plan and go some way to restore dignity to this important site.

Yours sincerely

Simon Black

Attachments can contain viruses that may harm your computer. Attachments may not display correctly.

Ridal, Peter

From:

Amee Blease-Bourne [ableasebourne@hotmail.com]

Sent: Wed 10/02/2010 19:24

To:

Cc:

Subject:

FW: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

Davey, Kate

Attachments: ATT00001.txt(4748) Drove Access(4K8)

Dear Kate

I am writing this letter to object to the closure of the ancient by-way of the drove near to Stonehenge.

I understand and agree with many of the principles of the new visitor centre and agree that no vehicles around the site would be best for everyone. This attempt to shut the drove is just another way of the authorities controlling people's actions around Stonebenge.

This is my objection: to me Stonehenge is a monument that should be visited by anyone at any time, it is a place of stillness, a place of connection and symbolisms an alternative and spiritual way of life for many people. It is a church,

Over the past few years a small number of self-policing people from all over the country (and world) gather for the special ceremonies that take place in the monument. The pilgrims who come here for spiritual purposes are denied full access to the stones, so for them the drove is a good second. Why not close the road APART from times of celebration (equinoxes and winter solstice) where the road could be opened and monitored (as you are aware, the size of the road does not accommodate a huge amount of people anyway). This would, or could be a healthy compromise for all.

My other concern is that people who cannot afford to visit the monument, would have very little opportunity to see the monument in it's full glory (rather than through a windscreen and moving in a car), which I feel is against human rights, if the stones are a place of worship.

Looking forward to your response

Best wishes

Aimee Blease-Bourne

Got a cool Hotmail story? Tell us now

We want to hear all your funny, exciting and crazy Hotmail stories. Tell us how

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 16 February 2010 14:34

To: Davey, Kate Subject: FW: A344

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8,JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: Lanne_humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: tom blencowe [mailto:tomblencowe@hotmail.com]

Sent: 15 February 2010 13:57

To: TrafficOrders Subject: A344

Dear Sir or Madam,

As a current first year archaeology student originally from Wiltshire I am sending you a quick email to express my support for the project to improve Stonehenge.

The closure of the A344 will significantly improve the tranquillity of the monument and make visits more pleasurable and, perhaps most importantly, safer.

As a resident of Wiltshire, living in Market Lavington, I have spent a great deal of time up on the Plain with my dogs and also support restricting access for recreational vehicles on the surrounding byways. This is a quite reasonable compromise as there are still plenty of other lanes and byways for people to use/damage with their peculiar hobby.

I urge you to carry out the proposed road closures on the both the A344 and the adjacent byways.

Yours

Tom Blencowe

Do you want a Hotmail account? Sign-up now - Free

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Ccr

Subject:

PW: Objection to TROs near/on Stonehenge WHS

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Boad, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Sent: Fri 12/02/2010 14:04

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: @anne.humphries@wiitshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Paul Blezard [mailto:paulblez@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2010 13:39

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Objection to TROs near/on Stonehenge WHS

To:

Ms K. Davey,

Senior Traffic Order Technician

Dept. for Transport, Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire County Council

County Hall

Bythesea Road

Trowbridge

BA14 8JN

Dear Ms Davey,

I am writing to object in the strongest possible terms to the Traffic Regulation Orders proposed for the Byways in the vicinity of Stonehenge and the very widely-delineated 'World Heritage Site'. I have been riding these byways for over 25 years and can see no good reason why I should be forbidden from continuing to do so.

Having looked at the revised proposals for the Stonehenge Visitor Centre it seems to me that the justifications for closing the B.O.A.T. to the immediate west of Stonehenge no longer hold water. At the very worst, (from a motor vehicle user's point of view) you should consider imposing a 'no parking' ban on users of that byway; a total ban is, in my opinion, completely unnecessary and unjustified.

As for the B.O.A.T.s north of the A344 and south of the A303, there was never any justification for closing them in the first place! I believe that the survey of motorised personal vehicles did not even consider usage of the latter two byways.

Talk of 'improving the amenity of the area' is pure flannel. Compared to the never-ending flow of HGVs and all manner of other traffic up and down the A303, (which runs much closer to Stonehenge than ANY of the byways), the closure or otherwise of the nearby byways is like a drop of water alongside Niagara Falls!

Furthermore, the grouping together of objections from user-groups as single objections, by the WCC's TRO officer, to disguise the extent of objections to these plans, goes against any reasonable person's notions of natural justice.

Appendix 3 to the Wiltshire County Council report on the byways on the World Heritage Site makes several references to 'Road Safety' yet the road safety of byway users does not appear to have been considered at all, particularly that of users like myself who ride vulnerable lightweight motorcycles and who will be forced onto major highways such as the A303 if these closures go ahead.

In my view the only reasonable action now is to have a Public Inquiry into these proposals so that those responsible for them can be properly questioned and by those such as myself who regard them as completely unnecessary and unjustified.

I look forward to receiving an acknowledgement of this objection.

Yours sincer	ely.		
Paul Blezard			
Paul N. Blezard F	4Sc (Environmental	Planning & Design)	
59 Sheridan Road			
Richmond			
Sucrey			
TW10 7NH			
mob: 07974 67419	9		
Inl: 0206 255 4237			
email: piu/blez@b	lugyonder.co.uk		
		by the MessageL	

From:

TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cct

Subject:

FW: Stonehenge Proosed World Heritage Site

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Sent: Fri 12/02/2010 14:55

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: Chris Blomfield [mailto:chris.blomfield@ntlworld.com]

Sent: 12 February 2010 14:46

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Stonehenge Proosed World Heritage Site

From

C D Blomfield,68 Caleridge Drive.Abingdon,OX14 5NT

To Wilts CC

Ref KRD/TRO/AMES

I refer to Schedule 3 of the order and the proposal to close to vehicular traffic, Amesbury Boats 11 & 12, Berwick St James Boat 11, Durrington Boat 11, Wilsford cum Lake Boats 1 & 2 and Woodford Boat 16

I object most strongly to these proposals, it would seem that the supposed needs of one group, ie users of these Boats be it vehicular, on horseback or on foot are being sacrificed to satisfy the perceived needs of another group, ie visitors to Stonehenge.

As a trail rider i and my friends frequently use these Boats as part of a circular route around the Salisbury Plain area and to link up to other parts of Wilts, Hants and Somerset using only Boats.

I would suggest that instead of closing all Boats on the proposed order why not just close Amesbury Boat 12 which is immediately adjacent to Stonehenge or improve the grassed area adjacent to the Boat or perhaps "fence off" this Boat so as to separate visitors from Boat users.

Yours Faithfully

C D Blomfield

From:

DAMIEN BODEN [damien.boden@btinternet.com]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject:

Stonehenge Drove Vehicular Access

Attachments:

Dear Kate:

Please reconsider your plans to close the Stonehenge Drove vehicle access. This is used by many people to park up and sleep after family gatherings etc.

Yours sincerely,

Damien C Boden

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System, For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

Sent: Wed 10/02/2010 19:50

From:	TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]	Sent: Mon 15/02/2010 08:02
To:	Davey, Kote	1500 1500 LD10 05.02
Cc:		
Subject:	FW: Form: TRO consultations form Willtshire Council	
Attachment	51	
Lianne Hun	nphries	
Technical A	dministrative Assistant Traffic Order Team Tr	ansport Environment & Leisure
Wiltshire Co	uncil County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge.	Wiltshire BA14 8JN
Tel: 01225 7	13737 Fax: 01225 713207 Ernall: <u>Janne hum</u>	phries@wiltshire.gov.uk
www.wiltshir	e.ggv.uk	
Sent: 15 Feb Fo: TrafficOr	oly@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov oruary 2010 07:56 orders orm: TRO consultations form Wiltshire Council	
This data wattps://www.rom 90,212	as entered into the form at wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/trocor 1.112.70	nsultations/troconsultationsform.htm
roposal:		
itonehenge	☐ Proposed Traffic Regulation Order	
teference (S	Shown on the scheme page):	
AD/TRO/	AMES	
itle:		
Is		

Forename:

Monica

Surname:

Boehringe	r.
Address:	
35 Esplana Porthcawl CF3	ade Avenue 6 3YS
E-mail add	ness:
boehringer	.m@sky.com
Your Comm	nents:
Pagan. I be	the proposed traffic regulation order. I believe the order, once in force, will access to areas where I prepare for ceremonies which celebrate my faith as lieve that restricting traffic through these areas will infringe upon my right to a faith in peace.
Date:	
15/02/2010	
I am happy website:	for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on thi
Yes	
Tick to rece	ive email copy of sent form:
Yes	
Accept term	s and conditions:
Yes	
	1 Feb 15 2010 08:08:39 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

From: TrafficOrders [TrafficOrders@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 February 2010 08:24

To: Davey, Kate

Subject: FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@witshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 09 February 2010 20:22

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at

https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 89.195.4.237

Proposal:

closing of byeways

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

: KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

ONLE

Forename:

michael

Surname:

Durrer

Address:

clast g goodwin court, loserster avenue, margate, hear, etc. bite-

E-mail address:

dnickborrer@yaboo.co.uk

Your Comments:

i object to the byways being closed as they are a public right of way

Date:

9/2/2010

I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:

No

Tick to receive email copy of sent form:

No

Accept terms and conditions:

Yes

Entered Tue Feb 09 2010 20:34:23 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

This data was entered into the form at http://www.salisbury.gov.uk/planning/develocontrol/planning-applications/2009/1527/submit-comment.htm

I agree to the disclaimer above Yes

I wish to Object

Name stewart bosworth

Address 21 offchurch road cubbington leamington spa warwickshire

Post Code ev327nw

Telephone

Comments I wish to object to the proposed TRo's within the stonehenge site.

I have ridden the byways concerned many times on my road legal motorcycle.

These routes are byways with full vehicular rights.

They travel no closer than the metalled A344 and not much closer than the A30 authority intending to prohibit motorised vehicles from these as well?

The removal of the public's rights is a serious matter and the grounds for doing substatial.

Whilst the authority may be able to use their own systems and councillors to rerrights, the rationale is ultimately challengable by judicial review.

Can the authority explain to me how removing the publics rights increases the a the area?

The byways see use by a minority, which again appear to be being persecuted by authority. It would be interesting to see the authority apply a prohibition on the affect the majority. However, I doubt this would be voted through quite so quick

I wish to object. Please confirm receipt of this email and the fact that it is being an objection to the proposals.

If you wish to be emailed a copy of

Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:20

Ph.1	14	-		100	100	6000
ĸ	œ	а	۹.	- 92	PH I	ter
	-	-	•,		-	e-east

From:

Humphries, Lianne [Lianne.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject: FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 8JN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713297 | Email: <u>Fanno humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk</u>

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 12 February 2010 16:51

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 95.144.120.130

Proposal:

Stonehenge TRO

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Mrs

Forename:

Rebecca

Surname:
Bowen BSc (Hons) MSc
Address:
Appletrees, Lon Hywel, Whitland, Carmarthenshire SA34 0BE
E-mail address:
r.bowen@concrete2jungle.co.uk
Your Comments:
Stonehenge is not just a "Tourist Attraction" where people can drive past with their digital cameras and capture a photo or two and then go off to there next destination. Stonehenge means something more to a lot of good hearted British citizens. Those who wish to come near and capture something more of where they are in time and space. Something grounding. Something solid and yet elusively mystifying. In these times of uncertain futures, Stonehenge is where you can feel attached somehow to all those who have come here before and yet we still don t know what the dickens they built the blessed thing for, it is just an amazing place to humble yourself. Got to keep it open, just got to, as this is a free country and this is symbolic of that freedom, in that we can roam the land and feel the spirit of our ancestors.
Date:
no input
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website;
Yes
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
Yes
Accept terms and conditions:
Yes
Entered Fri Feb 12 2010 17:03:47 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)

Sent: Wed 17/02/2010 11:15

-	2-4	-1	m.	atv	
- 140	nn	- 1		III EV	20

From:

Humphries, Lianne [Lianne.Humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk]

To:

Davey, Kate

Cc:

Subject: FW: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

Attachments:

Lianne Humphries

Technical Administrative Assistant | Traffic Order Team | Transport Environment & Leisure

Wiltshire Council | County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire BA14 BJN

Tel: 01225 713737 | Fax: 01225 713207 | Email: lianne.humphries@wiltshire.gov.uk

www.wiltshire.gov.uk

From: noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk [mailto:noreply@wiltshire.gov.uk]

Sent: 15 February 2010 11:28

To: TrafficOrders

Subject: Form: TRO consultations form | Wiltshire Council

This data was entered into the form at https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/council/consultations/troconsultations/troconsultationsform.htm from 78.146.149.171

Proposal:

Stonehenge TRO

Reference (Shown on the scheme page):

KAD/TRO/AMES

Title:

Mr

Forename:

Allen

Surname:
Brandt-Gittens
Address:
1 Stapleton House 25 Thurlow Road Torquay Devan TQ1 3DZ
E-mail address:
allen.gittens@yahoo.co.uk
Your Comments:
With reference to your proposal to close "The Drove" near Stonehenge to public access. As you are aware "The Drove" has for many years been a meeting place and rallying point for pagans of all kinds to wait for the gates sopen for the "Managed Access" to Stonehenge at the Solstices and Equinoves. Such a rallying point is absolutely essential for at least three of those events as the car parks at Stonehenge are inaccessible. With the building of the proposed Visitors Centre and the closing the existing car parks the availability of The Grove for temporary parking becomes even more essential as the only alternative is to park a mile away - beyond the "Restricted Access" zone - and walk along the busy main road in total darkness. The proposed closure takes yet another step along the road of making Stoochenge inaccessible to the many, many pagans in Britain and across the world who see Stonehenge as a place of spiritual pilgrimage. English Heritage holds Stonehenge "In Trust" for the people of Britain. The Druids and other pagans who meet for sumrise at Stonehenge ARE "People of Britain" and the discourtesy and disrespect shown by the National Trust, English Heritage and Wiltshire County Council to this significant section of the population who are attempting to exercise their legal right to practice their religion at this ancient spiritual site is beyond comprehension.
Yours sincerely,
Rev. Allen Brandt-Gittens, BA
Date:
15/02/2010
I am happy for my name and address to appear in any future report which may be published on this website:
Yes
Tick to receive email copy of sent form:
Yes
Accept terms and conditions:
Yes
Entered Mon Feb 15 2010 11:40:22 GMT 0000 (GMT Standard Time)